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Meeting Called to Order at 9:05 a.m.

1. **Fellowship Review Selection**
The Committee reviewed the Presidential Fellowship rankings and made final recommendations.
   Candidates for the Presidential Fellowships include:
   - Adam Iaizzi, Applied Physics
   - Sami Kahn, Secondary Education
   - Carolina Novella Centellas, Communication
   - Seokjoon Chun, Psychology
   - Kimberly Anne Fleek, Global Health
   - Paul London, Molecular Medicine
   Alternates include:
   - Kelly Ann O’Malley
   - Ehsan Vahidi
   - Tyler Huismann

2. **Repeated Courses and Title IV requirements – Information Only**
   Carol Hines-Cobb provided an update on a recent amendment to the Higher Education Act of 2008, which has potential impact on financial aid awards to students taking courses more than twice under the same course number (e.g. special topics, thesis/dissertation, etc.) Information will be provided once available.

3. **General Counsel Policy – Health Conduct** – responses requested by March 18 – **Recommendations made**
The Committee reviewed the proposed changes and noted that the proposal was too vague. The Committee recommends that the existing USF Health Student Code of Conduct.
4. **Violation of Professional Ethics** – **APPROVED**
   The Committee concurred that Colleges and Programs have the ability to make more restrictive requirements, so long as the University baseline was honored. It was also determined that this was more of an issue for the *Student Code of Conduct* and to make a recommendation to the Office of Student Affairs to amend the current USF Policy to add “Professional standards” in the existing wording. The proposed revisions for the Catalog were also discussed. Changes were made to the Academic Dismissal section, but not the other two. (See Attached).

The following was tabled for the April meeting

5. **Transfer of Credit** – readdress issues of USF vs. Non-USF policies.
6. **Cross-listing courses**: 3000/4000 to 5000/6000 – SCNS policies
7. **Dual Degree and Dual Concentration Policies** – clarify existing policies

**Meeting Adjourned** at 11:00 am
Violation of Professional Ethics !!
Proposed Changes at University Level

1. RECOMMENDED:
   Recommended changes to the *USF 6.0021 - University Student Code of Conduct*. Add the following wording:

   Students are responsible for compliance with all public laws, professional standards, as well as USF System rules, policies and regulations. Students accused of a crime may be prosecuted under the appropriate jurisdiction and also disciplined under the Student Code of Conduct. The USF System may pursue disciplinary action even if criminal justice authorities choose not to prosecute, and it may also act independently of the criminal justice process.

   (4.19) False Information - Knowingly making a material false oral or written statement to any USF System official.

   (4.19a) Violation of Professional Standards and Ethics

2. APPROVED:
   Insert a new phrase in the Graduate Catalog in the section on Academic Dismissal:

   Students may be academically dismissed from the graduate degree program for a variety of reasons….Some of the reasons for academic dismissal include:
   • Failure to successfully satisfy requirements to meet Conditional Admission by the deadline established by the program.
   • Receiving an FF grade.
   • Failure to maintain “good standing.”
   • Failure to make satisfactory progress.
   • Violations of the ethical/professional standards in clinical or field-based programs. *(THIS WAS SUGGESTED WORDING AND NOT IN THE ORIGINAL CATALOG COPY)*

   *students may be dismissed for other reasons, such as violations of student conduct. Refer to the USF Policy – 6.0021 for more information.*

3. NOT APPROVED:
   A - Insert a phrase in the existing Academic Grievance Procedures as follows:

   An “academic grievance” is a claim that a specific academic decision or action that affects that student’s academic record or status has violated published policies and procedures, or has been applied to the grievant in a manner different from that used for other students. Grievances may
related to such decisions as the assignment of a grade seen by the student as incorrect or the dismissal or failure of a student for his or her other action(s), including violations of the professional/ethical standards of clinical or field-based programs.

B - Insert a new statement in the Academic Integrity policy (Section 7 of Graduate Catalog and page 64 of Undergraduate Catalog) identifying violations of ethical/professional standards in clinical or field-based programs as an example of a violation of Academic Integrity. This statement would make it clear that an FF grade could be awarded.